



CHRISTINE D. ANINZO
Procurement Officer
Christine.Aninzo@NMIJudiciary.gov

March 27, 2026

ADDENDUM NO. 1: RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION
RFP-2026-JUD-5/VSS BID NO. 42600075
“DOOR HARDENING EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION
FOR THE NMI JUDICIARY FACILITES ON SAIPAN”

To Qualified Prospective Proposers¹:

This is Addendum No. 1 to RFP-2026-JUD-5/VSS Bid No. 42600075, “Door Hardening Equipment and Installation for the NMI Judiciary Facilities on Saipan” (“RFP”). Included in this Addendum, and attached hereto, is the following:

1. Response to Request for Information (March 23, 2026).

Thank you for your interest.

Sincerely,

 Digitally signed by
CHRISTINE ANINZO
Date: 2026.03.27
12:41:20 +10'00'

CHRISTINE D. ANINZO
Procurement Officer

END OF ADDENDUM NO. 1.

NOTE TO ALL QUALIFIED PROSPECTIVE PROPOSERS:

Please acknowledge receipt of this addendum via email to Procurement@NMIJudiciary.gov. Qualified prospective proposers must complete and include this addendum in the proposal submission.

Acknowledged by:

Company Name

First and Last Name

Title

Signature

Date


¹ Only proposers who attended the Mandatory Pre-Submission Conference or scheduled a Mandatory Pre-Submission Conference will be considered for this RFP.



CHRISTINE D. ANINZO
Procurement Officer
Christine.Aninzo@NMIJudiciary.gov

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR INFORMATION²
RFP-2026-JUD-5/VSS BID NO. 42600075
“DOOR HARDENING EQUIPMENT AND INSTALLATION
FOR THE NMI JUDICIARY FACILITES ON SAIPAN”
MARCH 27, 2026

1. Indicate the swing direction of each door, indicating if the doors are. Sample:



Answer (“A”): The swing direction of each door must mimic the existing swing direction.

2. Will the Judiciary allow the use of wrap-around plates or surface-mounted hardware if the existing door preparations do not align with the new hardware footprint?

A: The Judiciary may allow the use of wrap-around plates or surface-mounted hardware. However, it must be reviewed and approved by the Judiciary prior to installation.

3. Please provide a layout of the door locations and CAD files for the as-builts to be submitted after the completion of the project as required by the bid.

A: The layout of the door locations for Guma’ Hustisia and Office of Adult Probation Supervision is included as Appendix A of this Addendum. The Judiciary does not have the Computer-Aided Design (CAD) documents available.

4. Who is responsible for faults found on the doors during time of installation, e.g door alignment, door closure not working properly and existing screws and bolts are crossthead, etc.

A: The chosen proposer shall be responsible for verifying the condition of all doors prior to installation. Any faults discovered prior to installation— including, but not limited to, misalignment, improper door closure, or cross-threaded screws and bolts— shall be documented and reported to the Judiciary

² The request for information questionnaire was provided by qualified prospective proposer(s). The Judiciary retained the exact language from the questionnaires without modifying its grammar or spelling.

immediately. The chosen proposer shall coordinate with the Judiciary to determine whether corrective work falls under the scope of the proposer's responsibilities. The chosen proposer shall be responsible for installation-related issues caused by their work.

- 5. The specified Marks USA IQ1Lite locksets inherently feature a mechanical key override cylinder. Does the Judiciary require these new lock cylinders to be keyed alike, or pinned to match an existing facility master key system? If integration into a master key system is required, please provide the existing keyway manufacturer and profile (e.g., Schlage C, Sargent, Yale).**

A: The Judiciary requires that the new locks match the existing facility's master key system. The existing keyway manufacturer is Arrow Lock & Door Hardware.

- 6. What is the Judiciary's plan regarding the stand-alone maglock & RFID access control system on the rear probation door (below)? The maglock access control system is no longer functional and should be removed when replacing the door lock system.**



A: The existing electromagnetic lock access control system is no longer functional and shall be removed by the chosen proposer when replacing the door lock system.

- 7. Section III.A.1.b.2 specifies the replacement of locks on two "metal and glass perimeter doors" (pictured above) at the OAPS building. Commercial metal and glass doors are typically aluminum storefronts with narrow stiles that cannot physically accommodate the standard footprint of the specified Marks USA IQ1Lite lock. Can the Judiciary confirm the stile width of the OAPS doors? If they are narrow stile, will the Judiciary accept an equivalent narrow-stile lockset (e.g., Adams Rite style) in lieu of the IQ1Lite?**

A: The door lock stile width is approximately 5 ¼ inches. The chosen proposer shall be responsible for verifying all dimensions. Qualified prospective proposers may submit alternative door lock systems that are equal to or exceed the requirements and specifications set forth in the RFP.